

Advance Directives, Historical Review, Legislation and Perspectives on the Clinical Relationship

Voluntades anticipadas, revisión histórica, legislación y perspectivas en la relación clínica

Jorge Augusto Moncaleano Sáenz*

Hospital Universitario San Ignacio, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana,
Bogotá, Colombia

Martha Patricia Rodríguez Sánchez**

Hospital Universitario San Ignacio, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana,
Bogotá, Colombia

<https://doi.org/10.36105/mye.2024v35n4.06>

Abstract

This narrative review addresses the historical and legal evolution of advance directives globally and locally since their emergence. It starts

* Resident of Family Medicine, researcher at the Faculty of Medicine, Department of Preventive and Social Health, San Ignacio University Hospital of the Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Colombia. E-mail: moncaleanos_ja@javeriana.edu.co
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6712-8039>

** Internist, nephrologist, researcher at the Faculty of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Renal and Transplant Unit, San Ignacio University Hospital, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Colombia. E-mail: m-rodriquezs@javeriana.edu.co
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5902-2894>

Reception: 23/02/2024 Acceptance: 24/04/2024

from the principle of autonomy in modern bioethics and its relationship with the patient's vulnerability, as well as the consideration of death by health professionals. It highlights the essential role of the advance directives document as a valuable tool in the physician-patient-family relationship, being fundamental to understanding the patient's perspective. The document is defined considering the medical literature and its application is discussed, stressing the importance of not waiting until advanced clinical deterioration for its implementation. The review also examines national and international legislation, as well as current medical knowledge, with the aim of facilitating consensual decisions among all parties involved in patient care.

Keywords: autonomy, bioethics, knowledge, decision making.

1. Introduction

1.1. Historical background

Bioethics has a well-known etymological origin: life (*bios*) human behavior (*ethos*), commonly translated as ethics of life; Potter in 1970 defined it as a new form of knowledge that bridges the empirical and human sciences, and called it “bioethics”, a bridge between life and human behavior, life and ecology, and life and the environment. Decision-making (ToD) in modern medicine has its foundation in bioethics (2).

Based firmly on the bioethical principle of autonomy, the emphasis on a patient-centered approach has been increasing since the early twentieth century, at which time courts began to try physicians of the age of aggression for performing operations without the consent of their patients (2). Serious illness and imminent death can often condition patients' wills to the point of becoming incapable of exercising autonomy with respect to medical decisions (3). A useful approach to these difficult situations is to make decisions in the “best interests” of patients; however, because such decisions require value judgments, about which thoughtful people almost never

agree, ethicists, lawyers, and legislators have sought a more reliable solution (3).

Advance directives (VA) are written to anticipate treatment options and choices should a patient become incapacitated by advanced illness (3). Possible treatments often addressed include mechanical ventilation, cardiopulmonary resuscitation, artificial nutrition, dialysis, antibiotics, or transfusion of blood products (3). Decisions about life-prolonging treatments for patients in settings such as intensive care and oncology generate a high emotional burden because they experience the very real possibility of dying (4). In this interactive process, the healthcare professional brings his knowledge, as well as information about the options, risks and benefits in reference to a diagnostic or therapeutic intervention, while the patient brings his preferences and concerns, regarding his experience with the health problem, his beliefs and values (5).

In the last decade, and because of the regulations in Colombia regarding euthanasia, VA and medically assisted suicide given by Law 1733 of 2014 under Resolution 2665 of 2018 (6,7), and Resolution 971 of 2021 (8); what concerns the VA document (DVA), in addition to referring to the concept of bioethics just delimited, highlights the importance of keeping in mind the wills of people at any stage of the disease. In Colombia, the right to subscribe the DVA appears for the first time in Law 1733 of 2014, “which regulates palliative care services for the comprehensive management of patients with advanced, chronic, degenerative and irreversible diseases”; then comes Resolution 1216 of April 20, 2015, referring to the guidelines for the organization and operation of committees to give effect to the right to die with dignity (9). The Ministry of Health in Colombia, repeals Resolution 1051 of 2016 on the DVA, expanding its provisions and partially regulating Law 1733 of 2014; the latter, known as the Consuelo Devis Saavedra Law (Name given in honor of a woman who remained 14 years in a coma, a case that led to raise the possibility of regulating palliative care), which regulates palliative care services for advanced, degenerative, chronic and irreversible

diseases (10). Giving compliance to the regulation of these services, the Ministry of Health and Social Protection of Colombia, issues Resolution 2665 of 2018 where the requirements of the DVA are defined (11).

1.2. Global historical/legislative analysis of advance directives

The Euthanasia Society of America (ESA) (12) was a pioneer in generating the idea of a document that concluded in the work of the lawyer Luis Kutner, published in 1969 under the name *Due process of euthanasia: the living will, a proposal* (13), in which the author considers: “legitimate, as an integral part of the right to privacy, the refusal of treatment that would extend the patient’s life in the face of an incurable and irreversible condition”.

A case that made world news was that of Karen Ann Quinlan, a 22-year-old woman diagnosed with an irreversible coma on life support for many years. Her parents requested that she be withdrawn from life support treatments, arguing that this had been Karen’s will, a situation that generated great debate in courts and medical committees, since the decision to withdraw the devices was taken in the context of an irreversible disease (14,15).

A few years after this case, in August 1976, the *Natural Death Act* (16), was passed in California, which promoted guarantees to the subject of the right to suspend or not to accept medical treatment and the protection of the health professional against any legislative act to respect the expressed will of the patient; this resource was the first to regulate natural death as a right to die of the dying person. This law gave way to the elaboration of guidelines and orientations, established how to write the document with recommendations including the need to register it in the clinical history and giving it a legislative character by characterizing it as a free process with a validity of 5 years (16). It was established that the document drafted in the hospital should be drawn up by personnel from outside the institution, with no kinship between the patient and witnesses to be

valid; it should provide absolute benefit in the event of the death of the sick person and the formers cannot be minors or pregnant women. In 1977, the document was legally validated in 7 American states (17).

By 1983, the right of a person with a durable power of attorney to appoint an attorney to make decisions in his or her place, if he or she does not have the capacity to do so, due to limitations in his or her health condition, was recognized in the *California's Durable Power of Attorney for Health Care Act* (18,19).

In the United States of America in 1990, due to the requirements of regulation in cases of persons with reduced capacity or inability to make decisions and to guarantee self-determination, the first *Patient self-determination act* (PSDA) (20) was created, was created for the purpose of informing the public about advance directives (AD) and to promote their use by publicizing rights regarding decisions about one's own medical care, to resolve ethical conflicts arising from withholding or failing treatment in irreversible (21) situations, and to ensure that the health care provider communicates these rights (22,23). Since the PSDA went into effect, hospitals, health homes and nursing homes have made a commitment to write AD guidelines, and health professionals must have the capacity to guide the ToD and the writing of the DVA from the moment the clinical relationship begins (24). However, this aspect is not complied with in most institutions in Colombia.

In Germany since 2009 there is a VA Act (25,26); compared to that law is a complete and detailed statute which is the English Mental Capacity Act of 2005 (27). In France, in 2016; an updated law of patient's rights focused on the attention to the FdV and the concept of VA (28). In South Korea since 2018 DVA are legal according to the Act on Palliative Care, and Palliative Care and Life Support Treatment Decisions for Patients to the FdV (TSV Decision Act) (29). In Canada, was passed by the Canadian Parliament in 2016, the Medical Aid in Dying Act, following an amendment to the law that criminalized medical aid in dying (30).

Since the Declaration of Alma-Ata in the year 1978 and the Ottawa Charter for Health Promotion, the World Health Organization urges patients to both individual and collective participation in health. VA promotes patient autonomy and empowerment based on personal values and perceptions, as well as cultural background and goals and expectations of care (31,32).

1.3. Perspective of the physician-patient or clinical relationship

In recent decades, there has been a change of perspective in the physician-patient relationship, evolving from a paternalistic model to one centered on patient autonomy and the right to information and participation in clinical ToD (33,34). Since its conception, VA has been widely promoted and supported worldwide as a fundamental part of Advance Care Planning (ACP) (35). There is good evidence of positive impact on patient care, including increased satisfaction with physicians and clinic visits (36), decreased cost and utilization of medical care (37), decreased chance of death in the hospital, and use of life-sustaining treatments (38). However, there is little evidence against the use of VA, this contrast has led many studies to explore possible barriers to completing VA, including low awareness and lack of knowledge of patients about them (39,40), in addition to the lack of time spent by physicians to know about them and even to execute or promote their application (41). Several intervention studies have demonstrated success arguing that patient education and communication can be effective in promoting VA completion (42-45). A systematic review by Brinkman-Stoppelenburg, Rietjens, and Van der Heide found that comprehensive discussion of advance care policies may be more effective than VA alone in improving compliance with patient end-of-life wishes and satisfaction with care (38). The King's Fund in the UK gives us some key findings to contemplate (46):

- A holistic approach to help patients and caregivers become more functional, independent and resilient.

- Developing community awareness and confidence in care coordination programs promotes legitimacy and engagement.
- Effective communication based on good working relationships among multidisciplinary team members is essential.
- Shared electronic health records can support the process, but a “high-touch, low-tech” approach can also be very effective.
- Care coordination programs should be localized so that they address the priorities of specific communities.
- Leadership and commitment (from both communities and health care providers) is vital to establishing a shared vision.

The inherently ethical and value-laden nature of decisions about FoV can be very demanding and emotionally charged for the health professionals involved (47,48). Physicians’ individual views, beliefs, experiences, and the longevity of their relationships with colleagues and families obfuscate the ToD process for them (49,50). Harmful emotions experienced by health care professionals during this process include discomfort, stress, fear, and frustration, and appear to be related to communication difficulties, poor collaboration, lack of consistency, and inappropriate choice in establishing conversations about POV (50-52). In mental health, the use of Advance Directives even increases trust in the doctor-patient relationship (53).

1.4. Advance directives and their relationship with health professionals.

Studies carried out in Spain in relation to the attitudes and knowledge of professionals about Advance Directives indicate that, while attitudes are favorable, knowledge about what they are and how they are implemented is generally scarce (34,54-58). In a review by Coleman, this synthesis of the literature shows that, in general, physicians express positive attitudes towards VA (59). Respect for patient autonomy emerges as the main determinant of these attitudes. In the field of research, the study “Proyecto al final tú decides”, led by Pablo Simón, shows in its results the favorable attitude of medical and nursing professionals towards the usefulness of VA (60,61).

Although physicians may be in favor of VA in theory (62), they prefer them less in comparison with their patients and use them infrequently (63).

In a study with medical students, it was found that, without a positive attitude toward death, physicians are more likely to find it difficult to effectively help dying patients and their families based on individual education, religion, faith, ethnicity, and other characteristics, and are more likely to exhibit anxiety and fear of death (64).

Recent contributions from international literature, agree that comprehensive and complex VA programs involving health professionals bring better outcomes, especially qualified or trained professionals, such as facilitators and social workers in Canada (65), Family Physicians in Australia (66), Registered Nurses (RN) in the Netherlands (67) and Australia (68).

In Colombia in 2021, Alvarez Acuña *et al.* showed that, over the course of 2020, 24% of physicians received one or more DVA from their patients (69). Only 53% of professionals inform and educate their patients about the DVA, because they believe they must sign the document, but do not have it available, 77.1 % of respondents perceived the same frequency of patients who completed a DVA, after the approval of the Resolution in 2018 (69). 86.6% of healthcare professionals say they comply with a DVA, even if the patient may benefit in another way (69). A study conducted in Colombia in patients to the FdV (70), found that 14% had signed their own VA; in addition, a higher number was reported because 24% of practitioners said they had received one or more DVA during the last year (69). Despite the progress made in the country by studying the knowledge and attitudes of health professionals regarding this document (69), there are still gaps in relation to the knowledge that health personnel have about Advance Directives in our country.

1.5. Advance directives and their relationship with patients

It has been reported, moreover, that primary care patients show a great willingness to have VA; both young and healthy subgroups

expressed at least as much interest in planning VA as those over 65 years of age, as well as those with a regular to poor health situation (71). An important question about VA is, if the wishes recorded in the documents remain stable over time, the issue of maintaining preferences is linked to the currency of VA (71). Numerous studies have been conducted on this topic, summarized in a systematic review by Auriemma *et al.* (72) in which they found that preferences in general remain stable, with greater firmness among patients with a serious illness (72,73) and people who had completed a VA (74-78).

Malawi is one of the few countries with a national palliative (79) care policy, thus limited human and material resources in the health system often result in patients being admitted to palliative care to the FdV (80), usually at home. In Spain, Llordés *et al.* have reported that only 2% of the population has formalized the use of VA, although 38% say they have heard of them (81). The frequencies of VA described in the literature vary widely showing large regional and interdisciplinary differences; it is evident that the percentage of people who have an effective DVA remains very low in the general population (82,83). In studies of non-oncology patients in the United States of America, 7% of patients admitted for acute cerebral hemorrhage had an adequate document (84). In Japan, 44% of patients with various types of cancer reported having a VA (85). In a study in Germany from 2009 to 2019, among patients who died in the ICU in 2019 compared with a complementary 2009 cohort, a significant increase in VA before death was observed (86); the increase in ICU patients who died after VA is concordant with a trend recognized in many other ICUs in Europe (87).

Zheng *et al.* investigated factors influencing VA preparation in cancer patients, including 23.2% with head and neck cancer, increased age, female gender, higher educational level, religious affiliation and higher Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) (88) status were found to be significant variables in univariate analysis (89-92). The association between increasing age and the presence of VA was also demonstrated in a study of veterans without underlying malignancy from a rural area of Alabama and another study of

patients with hemato-oncologic disease (93-94). Mahaney-Price *et al.* found religiosity to be a relevant influencing factor, in addition to the influence of age (94). VAs is used more in chronic diseases, e.g., COPD patients or HIV-infected population (96).

1.6. Human vulnerability principle

The principle of human vulnerability is a condition that must be recognized in all people as an essential component of respect for the values, beliefs and autonomy that govern the essence of people, and that is framed in the understanding of being owners in some way of the control of our life (97).

Currently, after having lived the experience of a pandemic such as COVID-19, it has been possible to understand the importance of being more prepared to recognize the convenience of treating, assessing and identifying in an adequate and timely manner the prognosis that anticipates the process of end of life until death in different pathologies and degrees of severity that afflict patients (98-103). The pandemic caused situations of uncertainty leading in a discouraging way to the fact that palliative care was not one of the strategies considered early in Colombia, especially in those patients with a condition of high risk of death; possibly the lack of knowledge of palliative medicine by physicians, families and patients, and on the other hand, the difficulty or limitation of human, technical and technological resources together with the overflow in health care and ignorance of the VA of patients, led to redistribute priorities in care by directing efforts towards other measures of higher priority (99-106).

It is important to understand how, from the point of view of health care, it becomes a little easier to care for those patients who are undergoing a process of POV when working in a multidisciplinary manner (66,107-111). Institutions should support the creation of hospital bioethics committees to advise physicians on their care and promote the discussion of ethical dilemmas faced by physicians who must somehow undertake the care of patients with different degrees

of complexity to offer treatments adjusted to the process of FdV until their own death (71,77,110,112-114).

1.7. Advance directives and death as the end of life

When speaking of death, one must necessarily include the decision-making process or VA as an opportunity for patients to have their wishes respected at any moment of their existence. Training on the care of the FdV is very limited in different medical scenarios (28,62,91,112), the lack of knowledge and national availability of palliative medicine makes questions are generated according to the vital condition of people to carry out much more justified treatments according to each patient's situation (28,47,62,69,115,116). The physician would be of great help in defining the course to be followed with the patient, and would also be responsible for giving complete, honest and clear information, and would assume the role of guiding the decisions to be made according to the information obtained from the patient when this is possible, or from the family (5,29,71,92,112,113,115).

In order to address these issues it is necessary to understand that an honest, empathic and open communication should allow informed decisions to have a greater forcefulness, understanding and comprehensiveness; it is necessary to involve in the context all the information regarding the methods of diagnosis and possible treatments that have been exhausted to provide not only the final care of the patient but a responsible care for the time of his death (44,47,59,63,71,117,118).

It is necessary to have accurate clinical information, to know the level of guilt and adherence to define the benefits of a certain decision; to know if the patient has previously refused studies or treatments that could ethically or clinically compromise him/her (70,89-91,106,107,114,119,120).

Integrating health care attention with mercy, compassion and empathy to intervene in the serious condition of the patient and his

quality of life, taking into account the dimension of the human being from physical, spiritual and psychological aspects, his values and beliefs, from a deeply ethical basis (115,120-122), allows to offer a support system that helps patients to live until the last moment of his life in the best possible way and provide efficient accompaniment promoting adaptation and awareness of the disease, which can contribute to the management of grief to his family (117,123,124).

2. Medical knowledge of the advance directives document

The creation of Advance Directives and their corresponding registries arose so that physicians and patients could communicate on a permanent basis, having a general rule or standard on which to rely (125). However, if healthcare professionals do not have the necessary knowledge and attitude to work with this tool, there is a danger that the VA and the PAA will not be used correctly (54). Studies have shown that there is little training in the process of dying (126,127) and minimal research on the recognition of patients' interests at the POF stage (126,128). It is known that primary care physicians begin to talk and share with their patients the decisions to be made at the FdV (129) and physicians, in general, agree that, if patients make a DVA, it favors the dialogue on the ToD at the FdV and is an aid to know the wishes of the patient (130-132).

Although knowledge among these health professionals generally reaches a higher level than among the general public, most doctors and nurses do not have detailed knowledge about VA (as a concept), the laws currently in force (including their binding character in ToD processes) and, above all, how VA can be put into practice; this ranges from administrative aspects (they are often unaware of how to consult the corresponding VA Register) to how they are supposed to proceed in a specific clinical case (57,133).

The study conducted in physicians by Bachiller *et al.* (134) indicated that 29.7% of the respondents did not know that there is legis-

lation regulating VA. Furthermore, only 10.8% of the physicians surveyed were aware of such legislation, while 98.2% thought it was important to inform patients of the possibility of granting advance directives and 51.9% agreed to apply the patient's preferences in advance directives if they are carried out legally (134). The study by Santos Unamuno *et al.* (135) carried out among family physicians, concluded that 82.5% of the physicians considered that they had little or no knowledge of advance directives; only 11.8% had read the current legislation and 97% agreed that the existence of a living will would facilitate advance directives. In the same sense, Simón Lorda *et al.* (54) in their study with physicians reported a mean knowledge of 5.3 (SD: 2.4) on a scale of 0 to 10 in primary care and 5.2 (SD: 2.7) in specialized care. In a similar study by Ameneiros *et al.* (136) the knowledge about advance directives of primary and specialized care physicians reached a mean of 3.8 (SD: 2.3; range 0 to 10). In Colombia there are few studies that evaluate this knowledge; however, Álvarez and Gómez in 2022 conducted a study in which 533 health professionals participated. Most of the participants were chief nurses (49.34 %), followed by physicians (44.09 %), specialists (31.14 %) and subspecialists (12.95 %). Fifty-four percent (n = 286) stated that they were unaware of the existence of the law regulating the VAD in Colombia; 34.33% (n = 183) stated that they were aware of the requirements to be met by this document (69).

2.1. Application of Advance Directives in Different Clinical Scenarios

The severity suffered during the COVID-19 pandemic and the higher mortality among people with comorbidities shows that physicians and surrogate POAs, such as next of kin, often engage in discussions about goals of care to interpret patients' known values (103). Consideration is given to patient preferences in acute, often stressful conditions, and to deciding what intensive care or palliative care would be in accordance with their wishes (103).

A VA may come into effect if the patient enters a permanent vegetative state after an event such as cardiac arrest or severe brain

trauma, or if the patient is diagnosed with a terminal illness such as metastatic cancer and lacks the capacity for ToD. Transferring what has been studied by Alvarez *et al.* regarding the knowledge of VAD in 2022 (69), there are multiple scenarios for the application of VAD in critical care, general and intermediate care, and emergency departments, among others.

For practical purposes, we can classify the people who decide to implement their VA into two types (137). The first is made up of those who, using the DVA format set out in Resolution 2665 of 2018 (87), have gone directly to the registry of these, or to the places administratively stipulated for this purpose, and have carried out the procedure in compliance with the requirements established by law (137). Healthy people often make the decision according to their own experiences, comments from other patients, or non-medical information. In principle, by the *clinical circuit* when the time comes, when they are affected by a pathology and do not have the capacity to make decisions, the clinician must take this will into account to respect it and make it effective (137). The second type of people who consider implementing the VA is made up of those who are current patients, i.e., who, because of their pathology, have reached the clinical relationship (137).

2.2. *Clinical relationship*

The care and monitoring of the pathological process should promote assertive communication about the goals of care of a person that is very important in the entire trajectory of a serious illness and influences the quality of life of patients and their families (138,139). Acute anxiety and existential distress associated with mortality salience, fear of pain, and other distressing symptoms often overwhelm standard coping strategies (140). To improve medical ToD, it is important to recognize that people may not make decisions rationally and that emotions impact decisions (141).

2.3. *Decision making*

Decisions about life-prolonging treatments in many clinical settings, such as intensive care and oncology, are fraught with emotion precisely because they evince the very real possibility of dying (4). The premise that delegates are rational decision makers underlies most (failed) efforts to improve these decisions, ranging from VA (142) documentation to address arithmetic: the ability to understand and work with numbers with pictographs in providing prognostic estimates. These efforts have failed because, even when perfectly informed, people do not always behave rationally by dispassionately weighing costs and benefits and choosing the best option (4). If they did, many decisions could be improved simply by providing decision makers with the right information (4). For example, researchers studying VA use have repeatedly observed that delegates sometimes accept treatments that the patient's VA suggests they might not have wanted (142). Even when surrogates receive more information about their loved one's preferences by allowing the proxy and patient to discuss the living will, proxies do not end up choosing treatments that are aligned with the patient's preferences (143).

2.4. *Chronic illnesses*

A common misconception is that chronic diseases with critical intercurrent episodes, in which there are no clear prognostic indicators, cannot benefit from VA (137). Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is an example of this type of pathology (137). Despite the unpredictable course of the disease and the high mortality rate in patients with COPD, the use of VAD is uncommon (144,145). Patients with COPD tend to experience significant morbidity for longer than patients with lung cancer (146). However, previous research has shown that when physicians discussed VA issues with their patients, the quality of care was rated as high (147). This suggests that the problem is not so much the quality of communication

of care to the POV, but of assertiveness in communication from the onset of care (145). Furthermore, the current literature recommends a multidisciplinary approach to VA that includes multidisciplinary training to ensure high quality palliative care for patients with COPD (146,148).

Integrating early discussions about VA for patients with heart failure (HF) into routine care can facilitate informed and shared ToD regarding complex therapeutic options and palliative care that are aligned with personal values and preferences (149,150). HF patients and their families have also strongly supported early integration of VA or palliative care discussions (151). The utilization of VA has been shown to be efficient in reducing the burden of HF care and improving clinical outcomes for patients/families, including reduced utilization of medical care (152-154), reduced use of aggressive treatments to POV (155), efficient symptom improvement (152,153), improved quality of life (152,153), and in turn timely palliative consultation/hospice enrollment (156).

When inquiring about VA, most patients, including those on chronic dialysis, want to be involved in decisions about life-sustaining therapies (71,157,158). Health states and severity of intervening illnesses rather than descriptions of treatments influence dialysis patients' preferences (159). Hospital staff may also influence the feelings of these patients (160). However, dialysis patients give a significant degree of freedom to their families (delegates) in decisions regarding life-sustaining therapies, independent of their emotional affect (161).

Dementia is the paradigm of complex and severe disease, in which the DVA is presented as a basic element to improve their care (162). Although ideally it would be done in early stages that allow the active participation of the person in the ToD, in most cases it is proposed in advanced stages (162). More than 60% of people living in nursing homes have some type of cognitive impairment (20-30% in advanced stages) (163). Advanced dementia presents a high difficulty in determining the vital prognosis in terms of survival time, and

in this context the affected persons may be forced to receive intensive and inappropriate treatments (162). The review of critical decisions in people with advanced dementia is performed in only 50% (164), when families participate reduce costly interventions without benefits, prioritizing welfare (165). There are observational studies showing that difficulties with swallowing and infections act as poor prognostic indicators. Recognition of these provides an opportunity to refocus disease progression and care and the application of VAD may be a good starting point (162).

Evidence shows that preferences for life-sustaining treatments depend on the clinical context, which supports the implementation of VA when the patient is clinically stable.

2.5. End-of-life and death phases

Death has generally been a topic that is considered negative and excluded from everyday conversations (70). However, when one is of advanced age or has a serious illness, one often thinks about it and the conditions under which it will occur (168-170). Death can occur suddenly, but in most cases, it results from an illness or chronic condition that usually requires medical attention and, therefore, takes place in the medical context (171). Serious illness can limit cognitive abilities, while increasing anxiety among the patient and family, undermining the ability to think critically and make decisions at difficult times (172,173). The dying process often makes what was once important to them less so, while problems that were once ignored may become increasingly significant (174). Difficult decisions often fall to family members who may be distressed or disagree about an appropriate course of care, despite the presence of an advanced directive (175-177). The perception that a patient received care commensurate with his or her wishes has been found to decrease anxiety, depression, trauma, regret, improved trust, reassurance, and family satisfaction with care (178,179). However, when care is perceived as discordant, the opposite may occur (139).

In relation to terminal illness, the Spanish Society of Palliative Care (SECPAL) defines it as an advanced and progressive condition that cannot be cured (180). This disease has no apparent or reasonable chance of response to specific treatment. It is characterized by the presence of numerous problems or intense symptoms, which are multiple, multifactorial and changing (180). These symptoms generate a strong emotional impact on the patient, his family and the health care team (180). This impact is closely related to the presence, explicit or implicit, of death (180). In addition, it is associated with a life prognosis of less than 6 months (180). Elderly patients with a “terminal illness” such as advanced dementia or end-stage COPD may live a long time and die of an unrelated cause (110).

Issues to the POV, such as PAA, palliative care, place of death, and family roles, are valuable for cancer patients (181-184). FdV decisions include determining how aggressively a patient will be treated and upper limits on treatment (limiting treatment) (51). A patient’s resuscitation status is another POV decision because patients may continue active treatment; however, due to the patient’s condition, it may not be appropriate to resuscitate in the event of cardiac arrest (51). In addition, it may be decided that active treatment is no longer effective, in which case treatment changes from active to comfort measures, and the patient is palliated (185). It is argued that no two patients are alike and that the decision to continue or withhold life support measures must consider many individual patient factors and therefore can only be made on an individual basis (186).

References

1. Flórez W. La Propuesta Bioética de Van Rensselaer Potter, cuatro décadas después. 2011; 27(66):70–84. Available at: <https://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=31022314005>
2. Matlock DD, Lum HD. Decision Making and Advance Care Planning: What Matters Most. En: Halter JB, editor. Hazzard’s Geriatric Medicine and Gerontology [Internet]. 8a ed. McGraw Hill Medical. 2022 [cited 2022 June 6]. Available at: <https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?bookid=3201§ionid=266644998>

3. Beal A., Angelos P, Dunn G, Hinshaw D, Pawlik T. Ethics, Palliative Care, and Care at the End of Life, AccessMedicine, McGraw Hill Medical. Brunicaardi FC, Andersen D, Billiar T, Dunn D, Kao L, Hunter J, editores. Schwartz's Principles of Surgery [Internet]. McGraw Hill Medical. 2019 [cited 2022 June 6]. Available at: <https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?bookid=2576§ionid=216218388>
4. Barnato AE. Emotion and Decision Making in the Clinical Encounter. Schwartz R, Hall J, Osterberg L, ed. Emotion in the Clinical Encounter [Internet]. McGraw Hill Medical. 2021 [cited 2022 June 6]. Available at: <https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?bookid=3088§ionid=257489697>
5. Ruiz-Azarola A, Perestelo-Pérez L. Participación ciudadana en salud: Formación y toma de decisiones compartida. Informe SESPAS 2012. Gaceta Sanitaria. Elsevier. 2012; (26)158–61.
6. Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social. Leyes desde 1992 - Vigencia expresa y control de constitucionalidad [LEY_1733_2014] [Internet]. Congreso de la República. 2014. Available at: http://www.secretariasenado.gov.co/senado/base-doc/ley_1733_2014.html
7. VLEX [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2022 June 6]. Resolución número 2665 de 2018, por medio de la cual se reglamenta parcialmente la Ley 1733 de 2014 en cuanto al derecho a suscribir el Documento de Voluntad Anticipada - 25 de junio de 2018 - Diario Oficial de Colombia. Available at: <https://vlex.com.co/vid/resolucion-numero-2665-2018-729891385>
8. Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social. Resolución 971 de 2021 [Internet]. 2021. Available at: <https://www.suin-juriscol.gov.co/viewDocument.asp?ruta=Resolucion/30043592>
9. Góez P, Córdoba G. Voluntades anticipadas en Colombia desde la Resolución 1051. Rev Latinoam Bioet. 2017; 17(1):228–30. <https://www.redalyc.org/journal/1270/127050090013/html/>
10. 2018 - CONSULTORSALUD - Nueva reglamentación sobre el Documento de Voluntad Anticipada: Resolución 2665 de [Internet]. [cited 2022 June 6]. Available at: <https://consultorsalud.com/nueva-reglamentacion-sobre-el-documento-de-voluntad-anticipada-resolucion-2665-de-2018/>
11. Ministerio de Salud y Protección Social. Boletín de Prensa No 081. 2018 [cited 2022 June 6]. Definidos requisitos del Documento de Voluntad Anticipada (DVA). Available at: <https://www.minsalud.gov.co/Paginas/Definidos-requisitos-del-Documen-to-de-Voluntad-Anticipada-DVA.aspx>
12. Panzer R. From Euthanasia Society of America to the National Hospice & Palliative Care Organization (1938-Present). [cited 2022 July 11]. Available at: [https://www.jpmsjournal.com/article/S0885-3924\(10\)00213-7/fulltext](https://www.jpmsjournal.com/article/S0885-3924(10)00213-7/fulltext)
13. Kutner L. Due Process of Euthanasia: The Living Will, A Proposal. Indiana Law Journal [Internet]. 1969 [cited 2022 July 11]; 44(4). Available at: <https://www.repository.law.indiana.edu/ilj> Available at: <https://www.repository.law.indiana.edu/ilj/vol44/iss4/2>

14. Caso Karen Ann Quinlan - Centro de Bioética [Internet]. [cited 2022 July 11]. Available at: <https://medicina.udd.cl/centro-bioetica/noticias/2010/08/04/caso-karen-ann-quinlan/>
15. Quinlan J, Quinlan J, Battelle P. Karen Ann: the Quinlans tell their story. 1977; 343.
16. Smith C, Krevans, Sadler. California's natural death act. *Western Journal of Medicine* [Internet]. 1978 [cited 2022 July 11]; 128(4):318. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1238103/>
17. Emanuel EJ, Emanuel LL. Living wills: past, present, and future. *J Clin Ethics*. 1990; 1(1):9-19. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2131066/>
18. Penal Code Section C. ADVANCE HEALTH CARE DIRECTIVE FORM.
19. California Durable POA for Healthcare Law – Power of Attorney [Internet]. [cited 2022 July 11]. Available at: <https://powerofattorney.uslegal.com/state-laws/california-durable-poa-for-healthcare-law/>
20. Congress of the United States of America. H.R.5067 - 101st Congress (1989-1990): Patient Self Determination Act of 1990, Congress.gov, Library of Congress [Internet]. Congress; 1990. Available at: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/101st-congress/house-bill/5067>
21. Éverton WP. Testamento vital e autonomia privada: fundamentos das diretivas antecipadas de vontade. Curitiba, Brasil: Juruá Editores; 2015.
22. H.R.4449 - 101st Congress (1989-1990): Patient Self Determination Act of 1990, Congress.gov, Library of Congress [Internet]. [cited 2022 June 6]. Available at: <https://www.congress.gov/bill/101st-congress/house-bill/4449>
23. Patient Self Determination Act of 1990 Law and Legal Definition, USLegal, Inc. [Internet]. [cited 2022 June 6]. Available at: <https://definitions.uslegal.com/patient-self-determination-act-of-1990/>
24. Pezzano LC. ARTÍCULO DE REVISIÓN Evolución y situación actual. *Rev Hosp Ital Baires*; 2013:33.
25. Graw JA, Marsch F, Spies CD, Francis RCE. End-of-life decision-making in intensive care ten years after a law on advance directives in Germany. *Medicina (Lithuania)*; 2021;57(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina57090930>
26. Wiesing U, Jox RJ, Heßler HJ, Borasio GD. A new law on advance directives in Germany. *J Med Ethics*. 2010; 36(12):779–83. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2010.036376>
27. Jox RJ, Michalowski S, Lorenz J, Schildmann J. Substitute decision making in medicine: Comparative analysis of the ethico-legal discourse in England and Germany. *Med Health Care Philos*. 2008; 11(2):153-63. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11019-007-9112-0>
28. Rwabihama JP, Belmin J, Rakotoarisoa DR, Hagege M, Audureau E, Benzengli H. Promoting patients' rights at the end of life in a geriatric setting in France: The healthcare professionals' level of knowledge about surrogate decision-makers and advance directives. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2020; 103(7):1390–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2020.01.020>
29. Kim B, Choi J, Lee I. Factors Associated with Advance Directives Documentation: A Nationwide Cross-Sectional Survey of Older Adults in Korea. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. el 1 de abril de 2022; 19(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19073771>

30. Zunzunegui MV. End of life care. *Gac Sanit.* 2018; 32(4):319–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaceta.2018.04.007>
31. Htut Y, Shahrul BK, Poi PJH. The views of older Malaysians on advanced directive and advanced care planning: A qualitative study. *Asia Pac J Public Health.* 2007; 19(3):58–67. <https://doi.org/10.1177/101053950701900310>
32. Perkins HS. Controlling death: The false promise of advance directives. *Annals of Internal Medicine.* American College of Physicians. 2007; 147:51–7. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17606961/>
33. Mira JJ, Lorenzo S, Vitaller J, Guilabert M. Derechos de los pacientes. Algo más que una cuestión de actitud. *Gac Sanit.* 2010; 24(3):247–50. http://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0213-91112010000300012&lng=es
34. Ameneiros-Lago E, Carballada-Rico C, Garrido-Sanjuán JA. Conocimientos y actitudes sobre las instrucciones previas de los médicos de Atención Primaria y Especializada del área sanitaria de Ferrol. *Revista de Calidad Asistencial.* 2013; 28(2):109–16. <https://www.elsevier.es/es-revista-revista-calidad-asistencial-256-articulo-conocimientos-actitudes-sobre-las-instrucciones-S1134282X1200098X>
35. Xu C, Yan S, Chee J, Lee EPY, Lim HW, Lim SWC. Increasing the completion rate of the advance directives in primary care setting – a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Fam Pract.* 2021; 22(1). <https://bmcpriamcare.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12875-021-01473-1>
36. Tierney WM, Dexter PR, Gramelspacher GP, Perkins AJ, Zhou XH, Wolinsky FD. The Effect of Discussions About Advance Directives on Patients' Satisfaction with Primary Care. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2001; 16(1):32–40. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11251748/>
37. Chambers C v, Diamond JJ, Perkel RL, Lasch LA. Relationship of advance directives to hospital charges in a Medicare population. *Arch Intern Med.* 1994; 154(5):541–7. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8122947/>
38. Brinkman-Stoppelenburg A, Rietjens JA, van der Heide A. The effects of advance care planning on end-of-life care: A systematic review. *Palliative Medicine.* SAGE Publications Ltd. 2014; 28:1000–25. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24651708/>
39. Low JA, Ng WC, Yap KB, Chan KM. End-of-life issues--preferences and choices of a group of elderly Chinese subjects attending a day care centre in Singapore. *Ann Acad Med Singap.* 2000; 29(1):50–6. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10748965/>
40. Tay M, Chia SE, Sng J. Knowledge, attitudes and practices of the Advance Medical Directive in a residential estate in Singapore. *Ann Acad Med Singap.* 2010; 39(6):424–8. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20625616/>
41. Wissow LS, Belote A, Kramer W, Compton-Phillips A, Kritzler R, Weiner JP. Promoting advance directives among elderly primary care patients. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2004; 19(9):944–51. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-1497.2004.30117.x>
42. Pearlman RA, Starks H, Cain KC, Cole WG. Improvements in advance care planning in the Veterans Affairs System: Results of a multifaceted intervention. *Arch Intern Med.* 2005; 165(6):667–74. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.165.6.667>
43. Happ MB, Capezuti E, Strumpf NE, Wagner L, Cunningham S, Evans L. Advance Care Planning and End-of-Life Care for Hospitalized Nursing Home Residents. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2002; 50(5):829–35. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1532-5415.2002.50207.x>

44. Tolle SW, Tilden VP, Nelson CA, Dunn PM. A prospective study of the efficacy of the physician order form for life-sustaining treatment. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1998; 46(9):1097–102. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.1998.tb06647.x>
45. Houben CHM, Spruit MA, Groenen MTJ, Wouters EFM, Janssen DJA. Efficacy of Advance Care Planning: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2014; 15(7):477–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2014.01.008>
46. Goodwin N, Sonola L, Thiel V, Kodner D. Co-ordinated care for people with complex chronic conditions: Key lessons and markers for success. *The Kings Fund* [Internet]. 2013; 44. Available at: <https://www.kingsfund.org.uk/insight-and-analysis/reports/co-ordinated-care-people-complex-chronic-conditions>
47. de Boer M, Reimer-Kirkham S, Sawatzky R. How nurses' and physicians' emotions, psychosocial factors, and professional roles influence the end-of-life decision making process: An interpretive description study. *Intensive Crit Care Nurs.* 2022; 103249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2022.103249>
48. Flannery L, Ramjan LM, Peters K. End-of-life decisions in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) - Exploring the experiences of ICU nurses and doctors - A critical literature review. *Australian Critical Care.* Elsevier Ireland Ltd. 2016; 29:97–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aucc.2015.07.004>
49. Hov R, Hedelin B, Athlin E. Being an intensive care nurse related to questions of withholding or withdrawing curative treatment. *J Clin Nurs* [Internet]. 2007 [cited 2022 June 11]; 16(1):203–11. Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-2702.2006.01427.x>
50. Flannery L, Ramjan LM, Peters K. End-of-life decisions in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) - Exploring the experiences of ICU nurses and doctors - A critical literature review. *Australian Critical Care.* Elsevier Ireland Ltd. 2016; 29:97–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aucc.2015.07.004>
51. Flannery L, Peters K, Ramjan LM. The differing perspectives of doctors and nurses in end-of-life decisions in the intensive care unit: A qualitative study. *Australian Critical Care.* 2020; 33(4):311–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aucc.2019.08.004>
52. McAndrew NS, Leske JS. A Balancing Act: Experiences of Nurses and Physicians When Making End-of-Life Decisions in Intensive Care Units. *Clin Nurs Res.* 2015; 24(4):357–74. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1054773814533791>
53. Ramos S. Las voluntades anticipadas en salud mental: hechos y valores. *Revista de Psiquiatría y Salud Mental.* Ediciones Doyma, S.L. 2015; 8:244–5.
54. Simón-Lorda P, Isabel Tamayo-Velázquez M, Vázquez-Vicente A, Durán-Hoyos A, Pena-González J, Jiménez-Zurita P. Conocimientos y actitudes de los médicos en dos áreas sanitarias sobre las voluntades vitales anticipadas. *Aten Primaria.* 2008; 40(2):61–6. <https://doi.org/10.1157/13116148>
55. Toro R, Mato A, Piga A, Galán MT. Conocimientos y actitudes de médicos y enfermeras sobre las instrucciones previas. *Aten Primaria.* 2013; 45(8):404–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aprim.2013.03.003>
56. Simón-Lorda P, Tamayo-Velázquez MI, Rubio MJG, Díaz PR, González JM, González MDCR. Conocimientos y actitudes del personal de enfermería acerca de las voluntades anticipadas en 2 áreas sanitarias de Andalucía. *En-*

- ferm Clin. 2008; 18(1):11–7. http://scielo.sld.cu/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0864-03192018000200010&lng=es
57. Fajardo MC, Valverde FJ, Jiménez JM, Gómez A, Huertas F. Grado de conocimiento y actitudes de los profesionales ante el Documento de Voluntades Anticipadas: Diferencias entre distintos profesionales y provincias de una misma autonomía. *Semergen*. 2015; 41(3):139–48. Available at: <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=5013048>
 58. Champer Blasco A, Caritg Monfort F, Marquet Palomer R. Conocimientos y actitudes de los profesionales de los equipos de atención primaria sobre el documento de voluntades anticipadas. *Aten Primaria*. 2010; 42(9):463–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aprim.2010.02.011>
 59. Coleman AME. Physician Attitudes Toward Advanced Directives: A Literature Review of Variables Impacting on Physicians Attitude Toward Advance Directives [Internet]. *American Journal of Hospice and Palliative Medicine*. SAGE PublicationsSage CA [cited 2022 June 11]. 2013; 30:696–706. Available at: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1049909112464544>
 60. Simón-Lorda P, Tamayo-Velázquez MI, Vázquez-Vicente A, Durán-Hoyos A, Peña-González J, Jiménez-Zurita P. Conocimientos y actitudes de los médicos en dos áreas sanitarias sobre las voluntades vitales anticipadas. *Aten Primaria*. 2008; 40(2):61–6. <https://doi.org/10.1157/13116148>
 61. Navarro Bravo B, Sánchez García M, Andrés Pretel F, Juárez Casalengua I, Cerdá Díaz R, Párraga Martínez I. Declaración de voluntades anticipadas: estudio cualitativo en personas mayores y médicos de Atención Primaria. *Aten Primaria*. 2011; 43(1):11–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aprim.2010.01.012>
 - Blondeau D, Valois P, Keyserlingk EW, Hébert M, Lavoie M. Comparison of patients' and health care professionals' attitudes towards advance directives. *J Med Ethics*. 1998; 24(5):328–35. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.24.5.328>
 63. Hughes DL, Singer PA. Family physicians' attitudes toward advance directives. *CMAJ*. 1992; 146(11):1937–44.
 64. Thiemann P, Quince T, Benson J, Wood D, Barclay S. Medical students' death anxiety: Severity and association with psychological health and attitudes toward palliative care. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2015; 50(3):335–342.e2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2015.03.014>
 65. Gagliardi L, Morassaei S. Optimizing the role of social workers in advance care planning within an academic hospital: an educational intervention program. *Soc Work Health Care*. 2019; 58(8):796–806. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00981389.2019.1645794>
 66. Detering KM, Hancock AD, Reade MC, Silvester W. The impact of advance care planning on end of life care in elderly patients: Randomised controlled trial. *BMJ (Online)*. 2010; 340(7751):847. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c1345>
 67. Houben CHM, Spruit MA, Luyten H, Pennings HJ, van den Boogaart VEM, Creemers JPHM. Cluster-randomised trial of a nurse-led advance care planning session in patients with COPD and their loved ones. *Thorax*. 2019; 74(4):328–36. <https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2018-211943>

68. Detering KM, Carter RZ, Sellars MW, Lewis V, Sutton EA. Prospective comparative effectiveness cohort study comparing two models of advance care planning provision for Australian community aged care clients. *BMJ Support Palliat Care*. 2017; 7(4):486–94. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjspcare-2017-001372>
69. Ana Milena Álvarez A, Ómar Fernando Gomez R. Advance Directives Document: knowledge and experiences of healthcare professionals in Colombia. *Colombian Journal of Anesthesiology*. 2022; 50(2). <https://doi.org/10.5554/22562087.e1012>
70. Sarmiento-Medina MI, Vargas-Cruz SL, Velásquez-Jiménez CM, Sierra De Jaramillo M. Terminally-ill patients' end of life problems and related decisions. *Rev. salud pública*. 2012; 14.
71. Emanuel LL, Barry MJ, Stoeckle JD, Ettelson LM, Emanuel EJ. Advance Directives for Medical Care — A Case for Greater Use. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1991; 324(13):889–95. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199103283241305>
72. Auriemma CL, Nguyen CA, Bronheim R, Kent S, Nadiger S, Pardo D. Stability of end-of-life preferences: A systematic review of the evidence. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2014; 174(7):1085–92. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.1183>
73. Carmel S, Mutran EJ. Stability of elderly persons' expressed preferences regarding the use of life-sustaining treatments. *Soc Sci Med*. 1999; 49(3):303–11. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536\(99\)00121-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(99)00121-5)
74. Danis M, Garrett J, Harris R, Patrick DL. Stability of choices about life-sustaining treatments. *Ann Intern Med*. 1994; 120(7):567–73. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-120-7-199404010-00006>
75. Wittink MN, Morales KH, Meoni LA, Ford DE, Wang NY, Klag MJ. Stability of preferences for end-of-life treatment after 3 years of follow-up: The Johns Hopkins precursors study. *Arch Intern Med*. 2008; 168(19):2125–30. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.168.19.2125>
76. Weissman JS, Haas JS, Fowler FJ, Gatsonis C, Massagli MP, Seage GR. The stability of preferences for life-sustaining care among persons with AIDS in the Boston Health Study. *Medical Decision Making*. 1999; 19(1):16–26. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989X9901900103>
77. Emanuel LL. Advance Directives. *Arch Intern Med* [Internet]. 1994 [cited 2022 June 11]; 154(2):209. Available at: <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamainternal-medicine/article-abstract/618348>
78. Ditto PH, Smucker WD, Danks JH, Jacobson JA, Houts RM, Fagerlin A, et al. Stability of Older Adults' Preferences for Life-Sustaining Medical Treatment. *Health Psychology*. 2003; 22(6):605–15. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0278-6133.22.6.605>
79. Hawkins MM, Dressel A, Kendall N, Wendland C, Hawkins S, Walker K, et al. The changing dynamics of community care and support in rural Malawi: The impact on Women's health and wellbeing at end of life. *Soc Sci Med*. 2022; 301:114934. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.114934>
80. Mkandawire-Valhmu L, Kendall N, Dressel A, Wendland C, Scheer VL, Kako P, et al. Women's work at end of life: The intersecting gendered vulnerabilities of patients and caregivers in rural Malawi. *Glob Public Health* [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2022 June 11]; 15(7):1062–72. Available at: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/17441692.2020.1730930>

81. Llordés Llordés M, Zurdo Muñoz E, Serra Morera I, Giménez N. Knowledge, expectancies and preferences in regard to the patient self-determination act among primary care patients. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 2014; 143(7):309–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medcli.2014.05.025>
82. Pennec S, Monnier A, Pontone S, Aubry R. End-of-life medical decisions in France: A death certificate follow-up survey 5 years after the 2005 act of parliament on patients' rights and end of life. *BMC Palliat Care* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2022 June 11]; 11(1):25. Available at: <https://bmcpalliatcare.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1472-684X-11-25>
83. de Vleminck A, Pardon K, Houttekier D, van den Block L, vander Stichele R, Deliens L. The prevalence in the general population of advance directives on euthanasia and discussion of end-of-life wishes: A nationwide survey Ethics, organization and policy. *BMC Palliat Care* [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2022 June 11]; 14(1):71. Available at: <http://bmcpalliatcare.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12904-015-0068-1>
84. Zahuranec DB, Brown DL, Lisabeth LD, Gonzales NR, Longwell PJ, Smith MA. Early care limitations independently predict mortality after intracerebral hemorrhage. *Neurology*. 2007; 68(20):1651–7. <https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000261906.93238.72>
85. Makino J, Fujitani S, Twohig B, Krasnica S, Oropello J. End-of-life considerations in the ICU in Japan: Ethical and legal perspectives. *Journal of Intensive Care*. BioMed Central Ltd. 2014; 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2052-0492-2-9>
86. Graw JA, Marsch F, Spies CD, Francis RCE. End-of-life decision-making in intensive care ten years after a law on advance directives in Germany. *Medicina (Lithuania)*. 2021; 57(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina57090930>
87. Sprung CL, Ricou B, Hartog CS, Maia P, Mentzelopoulos SD, Weiss M. Changes in End-of-Life Practices in European Intensive Care Units from 1999 to 2016. *JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association*. American Medical Association. 2019; 1692–704. <http://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2019.14608>
88. ECOG Performance Status Scale - ECOG-ACRIN Cancer Research Group [Internet]. [cited 2022 July 11]. Available at: <https://ecog-acrin.org/resources/ecog-performance-status/>
89. Trarieux-Signol S, Moreau S, Gourin MP, Penot A, Edoux De Lafont G, Preux PM, et al. Factors associated with the designation of a health care proxy and writing advance directives for patients suffering from haematological malignancies. *BMC Palliat Care* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2022 June 11]; 13(1):57. Available at: <https://bmcpalliatcare.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1472-684X-13-57>
90. Tan TS, Jatoi A. An update on advance directives in the medical record: Findings from 1186 consecutive patients with unresectable exocrine pancreas cancer. *J Gastrointest Cancer*. 2008; 39(1–4):100–3. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12029-008-9041-z>
91. Zheng R, Fu Y, Xiang Q, Yang M, Chen L, Shi Y. Knowledge, attitudes, and influencing factors of cancer patients toward approving advance directives in China. *Supportive Care in Cancer*. 2016; 24(10):4097–103. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-016-3223-1>

92. de Heer G, Saugel B, Sensen B, Rübsteck C, Pinnschmidt HO, Kluge S. Advance directives and powers of attorney in intensive care patients. *Dtsch Arztebl Int*. 2017; 114(21):363–70.
93. Sudore R, Le GM, McMahon R, Feuz M, Katen M, Barnes DE. The advance care planning PREPARE study among older Veterans with serious and chronic illness: Study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials*. 2015; 16(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-015-1055-9>
94. Mahaney-Price AF, Hilgeman MM, Davis LL, McNeal SF, Conner CM, Allen RS. Living Will Status and Desire for Living Will Help Among Rural Alabama Veterans. *Res Nurs Health* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2022 June 11]; 37(5):379–90. Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/nur.21617>
95. Couceiro A, Pandiella A. COPD. A model for using advanced directives and care planning. *Arch Bronconeumol*. 2010; 46(6):325–31. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1579-2129\(10\)70075-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1579-2129(10)70075-6)
96. Miró G, Pedrol E, Soler A, Serra-Prat M, Yébenes JC, Martínez R. Conocimiento de la enfermedad y de los documentos de voluntades anticipadas en el paciente seropositivo para el VIH. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 2006; 126(15):567–72.
97. Rosas A. La vulnerabilidad humana: ¿un freno a la autonomía? human vulnerability: ¿a brake to autonomy? vulnerabilidade humana: ¿um freio de autonomia? *Rev Bioet Latinoam*. 2015; 16:1–16. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/282366528_Human_vulnerability_A_break_to_autonomy_La_vulnerabilidad_humana_Un_freno_a_la_autonomia
98. Álvarez-Del-Río A, Ortega-García E, Oñate-Ocaña L, Vargas-Huicochea I. Experience of oncology residents with death: A qualitative study in Mexico. *BMC Med Ethics*. 2019; 20(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12910-019-0432-4>
99. Covid-19, “Hablemos de la muerte” en tiempos de aislamiento con el Proyecto HuCi [Internet]. [cited 2022 July 11]. Available at: <https://elblogdezoee.es/post/covid-19-hablemos-de-la-muerte-en-tiempos-de-aislamiento-con-el-proyecto-huci>
100. Dujardin J, Schuurmans J, Westerduin D, Wichmann AB, Engels Y. The COVID-19 pandemic: A tipping point for advance care planning? Experiences of general practitioners. *Palliat Med*. 2021; 35(7):1238–48. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02692163211016979>
101. Alli A, Thorsteinsdottir B, Carey EC, Havyer RD. Never Waste a Pandemic: Strategies to Increase Advance Care Planning Now. *Mayo Clin Proc Innov Qual Outcomes*. 2021; 5(5):946–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocpiqo.2021.08.001>
102. Nguyen AL, Davtyan M, Taylor J, Christensen C, Brown B. Perceptions of the Importance of Advance Care Planning During the COVID-19 Pandemic Among Older Adults Living With HIV. *Front Public Health*. 2021; 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.636786>
103. Hirakawa Y, Saif-Ur-Rahman KM, Aita K, Nishikawa M, Arai H, Miura H. Implementation of advance care planning amid the COVID-19 crisis: A narrative review and synthesis. Vol. 21, *Geriatrics and Gerontology International*. John Wiley and Sons Inc. 2021; 21:779–87. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ggi.14237>
104. Kavalieratos D, Corbelli J, Zhang D, Dionne-Odom JN, Ernecoff NC, Hanmer J. Association between palliative care and patient and caregiver outcomes: A

- systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association*. American Medical Association. 2016; 316:2104–14. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.16840>
105. Sprung CL, Truog RD, Curtis JR, Joynt GM, Baras M, Michalsen A. Seeking worldwide professional consensus on the principles of end-of-life care for the critically ill: The consensus for worldwide end-of-life practice for patients in intensive care units (WELPICUS) study. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. American Thoracic Society. 2014; 190:855–66. <https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201403-0593CC>
106. Pérez MD v., Macchi MJ, Agranatti AF. Advance directives in the context of end-of-life palliative care. *Current Opinion in Supportive and Palliative Care*. 2013; 7:406–10. <https://doi.org/10.1097/SPC.0000000000000007>
107. Holley JL, Stackiewicz L, Dacko C, Rault R. Factors influencing dialysis patients' completion of advance directives. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*. 1997; 30(3):356–60. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6386\(97\)90279-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6386(97)90279-1)
108. Gillick MR. Reversing the Code Status of Advance Directives? *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2010; 362(13):1239–40. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa0907901>
109. Lo B, Steinbrook R. Resuscitating advance directives. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. *Arch Intern Med*. 2004; 164:1501–6. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.164.14.1501>
110. Messinger-Rapport BJ, Baum EE, Smith ML. Advance care planning: Beyond the living will. *Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine*. *Cleve Clin J Med*. 2009; 76:276–85. <https://doi.org/10.3949/ccjm.76a.07002>
111. Sudore RL, Fried TR. Redefining the “planning” in advance care planning: Preparing for end-of-life decision making. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. American College of Physicians. 2010; 153:256–61. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-153-4-201008170-00008>
112. Weaver LM, Hobgood CD. Death Notification and Advance Directives. En: Tintinalli J, Ma O, Yealy D, Meckler G, Stapczynski J, Cline D. editores. *Tintinalli's Emergency Medicine: A Comprehensive Study Guide [Internet]*. 9a ed. McGraw Hill Medical; 2020 [cited 2022 May 17]. Available at: <https://accessemergencymedicine.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?bookid=2353§ionid=226636461>
113. Armstrong K, Ross D S. Medical-Legal Concepts: Advance Directives and Surrogate Decision Making. McKean S, Ross J, Dressler D, Scheurer D, editores. *Principles and Practice of Hospital Medicine [Internet]*. 2a ed. McGraw Hill Medical; 2017 [cited 2022 May 17]. Available at: <https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?bookid=1872§ionid=138889854>
114. Martin DK, Emanuel LL, Singer PA. Planning for the end of life. *Lancet*. Elsevier B.V. 2000; 356:1672–6.
115. Lang A, Frankus E, Heimerl K. The perspective of professional caregivers working in generalist palliative care on 'good dying': An integrative review. *Social Science and Medicine*. Elsevier Ltd. 2022; 293:114647. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114647>
116. Lang A, Frankus E, Heimerl K. The perspective of professional caregivers working in generalist palliative care on 'good dying': An integrative review. *Soc Sci Med*. 2022; 293:114647. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114647>

117. Oczkowski SJ, Chung HO, Hanvey L, Mbuagbaw L, You JJ. Communication tools for end-of-life decision-making in ambulatory care settings: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE. Public Library of Science*. 2016; 11. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203911>
118. MacKenzie MA, Smith-Howell E, Bomba PA, Meghani SH. Respecting Choices and Related Models of Advance Care Planning: A Systematic Review of Published Evidence. *American Journal of Hospice and Palliative Medicine*. SAGE Publications Inc. 2018; 35: 897–907. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049909117745789>
119. Papadakis M, McPhee S, Rabow M, McQuaid K, editores. Care of Patients at the End of Life. En: *Current Medical Diagnosis & Treatment 2022* [Internet]. McGraw Hill Medical; 2022 [cited 2022 May 17]. Available at: <https://accessmedicina.mh-medical.com/content.aspx?bookid=3153§ionid=266167355>
120. Mentzelopoulos SD, Couper K, Voorde P van de, Druwé P, Blom M, Perkins GD, et al. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines 2021: Ethics of resuscitation and end of life decisions. *Resuscitation*. 2021; 161:408–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.02.017>
121. Zaman M, Espinal-Arango S, Mohapatra A, Jadad AR. What would it take to die well? A systematic review of systematic reviews on the conditions for a good death. *The Lancet Healthy Longevity*. Elsevier Ltd. 2021; 2:e593–600. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-7568\(21\)00097-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-7568(21)00097-0)
122. Broggi i Trias MA. Por una muerte apropiada. 1a ed. Barcelona: Editorial Anagrama; 2013.
123. Higel T, Alaoui A, Bouton C, Fournier JP. Effect of Living Wills on End-of-Life Care: A Systematic Review. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society*. Blackwell Publishing Inc. 2019; 67:164–71. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.15630>
124. Brinkman-Stoppelenburg A, Rietjens JAC, van der Heide A. The effects of advance care planning on end-of-life care: A systematic review. *Palliative Medicine*. SAGE Publications Ltd. 2014; 28:1000–25. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269216314526272>
125. Reflexiones sobre el testamento vital (I y II): Versión resumida | Atención Primaria [Internet]. [cited 2022 Aug 8]. Available at: <https://www.elsevier.es/es-revista-atencion-primaria-27-articulo-reflexiones-sobre-el-testamento-vital-13042581>
126. Santos de Unamuno C. Documento de voluntades anticipadas: actitud de los pacientes de atención primaria. *Aten Primaria*. 2003; 32(1):1–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0212-6567\(03\)78848-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0212-6567(03)78848-9)
127. Kessel Sardinias H. Paradojas en las decisiones al final de la vida. *Med Clin (Barc)*. 2001; 116(8):296–8.
128. Callahan D. Death and the Research Imperative. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2000; 342(9):654–6. <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJM200003023420910>
129. Markson L, Clark J, Glantz L, Lamberton V, Kern D, Stollerman G. The Doctor's Role in Discussing Advance Preferences for End-of-Life Care: Perceptions of Physicians Practicing in the VA. *J Am Geriatr Soc* [Internet]. 1997 [cited 2022 Aug 8]; 45(4):399–406. Available at: <https://onlineibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1532-5415.1997.tb05162.x>
130. Crane MK, Wittink M, Doukas DJ. Respecting End-of-Life Treatment Preferences. *Am Fam Physician*. 2005; 72(7):1263–8.

131. Hildén HM, Louhiala P, Palo J. End of life decisions: Attitudes of Finnish physicians. *J Med Ethics*. 2004; 30(4):362–5. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jme.2002.001081>
132. Thompson TDB, Barbour RS, Schwartz L. Health professionals' views on advance directives: A qualitative interdisciplinary study. *Palliat Med* [Internet]. 2003 [cited 2022 Aug 8]; 17(5):403–9. Available at: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1191/0269216303pm784oa>
133. Jiménez Rodríguez JM, Allam MF. Conocimiento, actitud y planificación de la voluntad vital anticipada en el Distrito Sanitario Guadalquivir de la provincia de Córdoba. *Medicina General y de Familia*. 2015; 4(4):114–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mgyf.2015.08.012>
134. Angel Bachiller Baeza RDMSH de MMMQVDC. Testamento vital: la opinión médica en la provincia de Valladolid. *Metas de Enfermería*. 2004; 7(4):24–7.
135. Santos C, Forn Rambla MA, Pérez R, Corrales A, Ugarriza L, Sales C. ¿Estamos preparados los médicos de familia para ayudar a nuestros pacientes a hacer el testamento vital? *Revista de Calidad Asistencial*. 2007; 22(5):262–5.
136. Ameneiros-Lago E, Carballada-Rico C, Garrido-Sanjuán JA. Conocimientos y actitudes sobre las instrucciones previas de los médicos de Atención Primaria y Especializada del área sanitaria de Ferrol. *Revista de Calidad Asistencial*. 2013; 28(2):109–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cali.2012.07.002>
137. Couceiro A, Pandiella A. La EPOC: un paradigma para el uso de directivas previas y la planificación anticipada de decisiones. *Arch Bronconeumol*. 2010; 46(6):325–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arbres.2009.12.001>
138. Bernacki RE, Block SD, Bielbelhausen J, Desai S, Feinberg L, Horwitch CA. Communication About Serious Illness Care Goals: A Review and Synthesis of Best Practices. *JAMA Intern Med* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 174(12):1994–2003. Available at: <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamainternalmedicine/fullarticle/1916912>
139. Sanders JJ, Curtis JR, Tulskey JA. Achieving Goal-Concordant Care: A Conceptual Model and Approach to Measuring Serious Illness Communication and Its Impact. *J Palliat Med* [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 21(S2):S-17-S-27. Available at: <http://www.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/jpm.2017.0459>
140. Becker E. *The Denial of Death*. New York: The Free Press; 1973.
141. Davidson R, Scherer K, Goldsmith H, editores. *Handbook of Affective Science*. 1a ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2003.
142. Fagerlin A, Schneider CE. Enough. The failure of the living will. *Hastings Cent Rep*. 34(2):30–42. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3527683>
143. Ditto PH, Danks JH, Smucker WD, Bookwala J, Coppola KM, Dresser R. Advance directives as acts of communication: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Intern Med*. 2001; 161(3):421–30. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.161.3.421>
144. Janssen DJA, Spruit MA, Schols JMGA, Wouters EFM. A call for high-quality advance care planning in outpatients with severe COPD or chronic heart failure. *Chest*. 2011; 139(5):1081–8. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.10-1753>
145. Jabbarian LJ, Zwakman M, van der Heide A, Kars MC, Janssen DJA, van Delden JJ. Advance care planning for patients with chronic respiratory diseases:

- A systematic review of preferences and practices. *Thorax*. 2018; 73(3):222–30. <https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2016-209806>
146. Spathis A, Booth S. End of life care in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: In search of a good death. *International Journal of COPD*. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*; 2008; 13:11–29. <https://doi.org/10.2147/COPD.S698>
 147. Houben CHM, Spruit MA, Schols JMGA, Wouters EFM, Janssen DJA. Patient-Clinician communication about end-of-life care in patients with advanced chronic organ failure during one year. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2015; 49(6):1109–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2014.12.008>
 148. Duenk R, Verhagen C, Dekhuijzen P, Vissers K, Engels Y, Heijdra Y. The view of pulmonologists on palliative care for patients with COPD: a survey study. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2022 Aug 8]; 12:299–311. Available at: <https://www.dovepress.com/the-view-of-pulmonologists-on-palliative-care-for-patients-with-copd-a-peer-reviewed-article-COPD>
 149. Maciver J, Ross HJ. A palliative approach for heart failure end-of-life care. *Curr Opin Cardiol* [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 33(2):202. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5811237/>
 150. McIlvennan CK, Allen LA. Palliative care in patients with heart failure. *BMJ* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 353. Available at: <https://www.bmj.com/content/353/bmj.i1010>
 151. Bekelman DB, Nowels CT, Retrum JH, Allen LA, Shakar S, Hutt E. Giving Voice to Patients' and Family Caregivers' Needs in Chronic Heart Failure: Implications for Palliative Care Programs. <https://home.liebertpub.com/jpm> [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 14(12):1317–24. Available at: <https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/jpm.2011.0179>
 152. Wong FKY, Ng AYM, Lee PH, Lam PT, Ng JSC, Ng NHY. Effects of a transitional palliative care model on patients with end-stage heart failure: a randomised controlled trial. *Heart* [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 102(14):1100–8. Available at: <https://heart.bmj.com/content/102/14/1100>
 153. Brännström M, Boman K. Effects of person-centred and integrated chronic heart failure and palliative home care. *PREFER: a randomized controlled study*. *Eur J Heart Fail* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 16(10):1142–51. Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/ejhf.151>
 154. Wiskar K, Celi LA, Walley KR, Fruhstorfer C, Rush B. Inpatient palliative care referral and 9-month hospital readmission in patients with congestive heart failure: a linked nationwide analysis. *J Intern Med* [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 282(5):445–51. Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/joim.12657>
 155. Dunlay SM, Swetz KM, Mueller PS, Roger VL. Advance directives in community patients with heart failure. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 5(3):283–9. Available at: <https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/abs/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.112.966036>
 156. Schellinger S, Sidebottom A, Briggs L. Disease Specific Advance Care Planning for Heart Failure Patients: Implementation in a Large Health System. <https://home.liebertpub.com/jpm> [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 14(11):1224–30. Available at: <https://www.liebertpub.com/doi/10.1089/jpm.2011.0105>

157. Holley JL, Finucane TE, Moss AH. Dialysis patients' attitudes about cardiopulmonary resuscitation and stopping dialysis. *Am J Nephrol*. 1989; 9(3):245–51. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000167974>
158. Lo B, McLeod GA, Saika G. Patient attitudes to discussing life-sustaining treatment. *Arch Intern Med*. 1986; 146(8):1613–5. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.1986.00360200193031>
159. Singer PA, Thiel EC, Naylor CD, Richardson RMA, Llewellyn-Thomas H, Goldstein M. Life-sustaining treatment preferences of hemodialysis patients: Implications for advance directives. *Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*. noviembre de 1995; 6(5):1410–7. <https://doi.org/10.1681/ASN.V651410>
160. Perry E, Buck C, Newsome J, Berger C, Messana J, Swartz R. Dialysis staff influence patients in formulating their advance directives. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*. 1995; 25(2):262–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-6386\(95\)90007-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-6386(95)90007-1)
161. Sehgal A, Galbraith A, Chesney M, Schoenfeld P, Charles G, Lo B. How strictly do dialysis patients want their advance directives followed? *JAMA*. 1992; 267(1):59–63. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.1992.03480140046024>
162. Torres-Allepuz RM, Cantizano-Baldo I, Arias-Ruiz B, Pujol-Camacho M, Blasco-Rovira M, Espauella-Panicot J. Demencia avanzada y decisiones difíciles: una oportunidad para la planificación de decisiones anticipadas. *Rev Esp Geriatr Gerontol*. 2019; 54(4):246–7.
163. López R, López JA, Castrodeza FJ, Tamames S, León T, Muñoz T. Prevalencia de demencia en pacientes institucionalizados: Estudio RESYDEM. *Rev Esp Geriatr Gerontol*. 2009; 44(1):5–11.
164. Toscani F, van der Steen JT, Finetti S, Giunco F, Pettenati F, Villani D. Critical decisions for older people with advanced dementia: A prospective study in long-term institutions and district home care. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2015; 16(6):535.e13–535.e20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2015.02.012>
165. Mitchell SL, Teno JM, Kiely DK, Shaffer ML, Jones RN, Prigerson HG. The clinical course of advanced dementia. *N Engl J Med* [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 361(16):1529–38. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19828530/>
166. Bajo Peñas L, Espauella Panicot J, Dalmau Paniagua N, Barneto Soto M, Altiriras Roset J. Analysis of dementia patient mortality in a psychogeriatric unit. *Rev Esp Geriatr Gerontol* [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 48(2):69–71. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23122479/>
167. Ditto PH, Jacobson JA, Smucker WD, Danks JH, Fagerlin A. Context changes choices: A prospective study of the effects of hospitalization on life-sustaining treatment preferences. *Medical Decision Making* [Internet]. 2006 [cited 2022 Aug 8]; 26(4):313–22. Available at: <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0272989X06290494>
168. Lloyd-Williams M, Kennedy V, Sixsmith A, Sixsmith J. The End of Life: A Qualitative Study of the Perceptions of People Over the Age of 80 on Issues Surrounding Death and Dying. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2007; 34(1):60–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2006.09.028>
169. Givens JL, Mitchell SL. Concerns About End-of-Life Care and Support for Euthanasia. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2009; 38(2):167–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2008.08.012>

170. Murray SA, Boyd K, Kendall M, Worth A, Benton TF, Clausen H. Dying of lung cancer or cardiac failure: Prospective qualitative interview study of patients and their carers in the community. *Br Med J*. 2002; 325(7370):929–32. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.325.7370.929>
171. Callahan D. Death, Mourning, and Medical Progress. *Perspect Biol Med*. 2008; 52(1):103–15. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pbm.0.0067>
172. Garrido MM, Prigerson HG. The end-of-life experience: Modifiable predictors of caregivers' bereavement adjustment. *Cancer* [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 120(6):918–25. Available at: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/cncr.28495>
173. Teno JM, Casey VA, Welch LC, Edgman-Levitan S. Patient-Focused, Family-Centered End-of-Life Medical Care: Views of the Guidelines and Bereaved Family Members. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2001; 22(3):738–51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-3924\(01\)00335-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0885-3924(01)00335-9)
174. Wright AA, Zhang B, Ray A, Mack JW, Trice E, Balboni T. Associations Between End-of-Life Discussions, Patient Mental Health, Medical Care Near Death, and Caregiver Bereavement Adjustment. *JAMA* [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 300(14):1665–73. Available at: <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/182700>
175. Sanders JJ, Miller K, Desai M, Geerse OP, Paladino J, Kavanagh J. Measuring Goal-Concordant Care: Results and Reflections From Secondary Analysis of a Trial to Improve Serious Illness Communication. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2020; 60(5):889–897.e2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2020.06.023>
176. Yamashita R, Arao H, Takao A, Masutani E, Morita T, Shima Y. Unfinished Business in Families of Terminally Ill With Cancer Patients. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2017; 54(6):861–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpainsymman.2017.04.013>
177. Masterson MP, Hurley KE, Zaider T, Corner G, Schuler T, Kissane DW. Psychosocial Health Outcomes for Family Caregivers Following the First Year of Bereavement. *Death Stud* [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 39(10):573–8. Available at: <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/07481187.2014.985406>
178. Akiyama A, Numata K, Mikami H. Importance of end-of-life support to minimize caregiver's regret during bereavement of the elderly for better subsequent adaptation to bereavement. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr*. 2010; 50(2):175–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2009.03.006>
179. Towsley GL, Hirschman KB, Madden C. Conversations about End of Life: Perspectives of Nursing Home Residents, Family, and Staff. [Internet] 2015 [cited 2022 Aug 9]; 18(5):421–8. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2014.0316>
180. Cabarcos Cazón A, Astudillo W. NUEVOS CRITERIOS PARA LA ACTUACIÓN SANITARIA EN LA TERMINALIDAD. San Sebastian. 2016.
181. Fukui S, Fujita J, Tsujimura M, Sumikawa Y, Hayashi Y. Predictors of home death of home palliative cancer care patients: A cross-sectional nationwide survey. *Int J Nurs Stud*. 2011; 48(11):1393–400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2011.05.001>
182. Fukui S, Fukui N, Kawagoe H. Predictors of place of death for Japanese patients with advanced-stage malignant disease in home care settings: A nationwide survey. *Cancer*. 2004; 101(2):421–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.20383>

183. Mosher CE, Adams RN, Helft PR, O'Neil BH, Shahda S, Rattray NA. Family caregiving challenges in advanced colorectal cancer: patient and caregiver perspectives. *Supportive Care in Cancer*. 2016; 24(5):2017–24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-015-2995-z>
184. Dow LA, Matsuyama RK, Ramakrishnan V, Kuhn L, Lamont EB, Lyckholm L. Paradoxes in advance care planning: The Complex relationship of oncology patients, their physicians, and advance medical directives. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*. 2010; 28(2):299–304. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2009.24.6397>
185. Lind R, Lorem GF, Nortvedt P, Hevrøy O. Family members' experiences of "wait and see" as a communication strategy in end-of-life decisions. *Intensive Care Med*. 2011; 37(7):1143–50. Available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00134-011-2253-x>
186. Baggs JG, Schmitt MH, Prendergast TJ, Norton SA, Sellers CR, Quinn JR. Who Is Attending? End-of-Life Decision Making in the Intensive Care Unit. *J Palliat Med* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2022 Aug 8]; 15(1):56–62. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jpm.2011.0307>

This work is under international License Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0)

